## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

United States of	America, )	Case No. 3:24-MJ-71766-MAG
	aintiff, )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Qingyun	Chen	
Do	efendant(s).	
Trial Act from _12/23/; continuance outweigh th	to 12/27/24 e best interest of the public and th	and finds that the ends of justice served by the e defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § s continuance on the following factor(s):
and the second s	grant a continuance would be like S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendant or law, th	s, the nature of the prosecu at it is unreasonable to expect ade	the number of the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
counsel's		sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
necessary		sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition paragraph the time lextending	n of criminal cases, the court sets and — based on the parties' show imits for a preliminary hearing un	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for ictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDE	RED.	
DATED:	12/23/2124	Jung
		Visa J. Cisneros United States Magistrate Judge
*	Lave Vas	Office States Wagistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney